

**REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
PLANTATION, FLORIDA**

July 9, 2014

The meeting was called to order by Councilmember Lynn Stoner, President of the City Council.

1. Roll Call by City Clerk:

Councilmember:	Jerry Fadgen Ron Jacobs Robert A. Levy Lynn Stoner Chris P. Zimmerman
Mayor:	Diane Veltri Bendekovic
Asst. City Attorney:	Quentin Morgan

* * * * *

2. The invocation was offered by Councilmember Zimmerman.

The Pledge of Allegiance followed.

3. Approval of Minutes of Meeting – June 11, 2014.

Minutes of the Regular Meeting of June 11, 2014 were approved as presented.

* * * * *

ITEMS SUBMITTED BY THE MAYOR

Jim Romano, Director of Parks and Recreation, made the following announcements:

- Camp Session B began on Monday, July 7, 2014 and it will run through August 2, 2014. All of the camps are full. There will be a Kid's Camp program at Central Park the last two weeks of the Summer Camp, which will begin on Monday, August 4, 2014 and conclude on August 15, 2014.
- On Sunday, July 13, 2014 through Saturday, July 19, 2014, we will be hosting the USDA Girl's 14th National Clay Court Championships at the Frank Veltri Tennis Center.
- The Daddy Daughter Sock Hop is on Friday, July 18, 2014 at Volunteer Park between 7:00 p.m. and 9:30 p.m.
- The Florida Gold Coast 14 and under Junior Olympics will be at the Aquatic Complex on Friday, July 18, 2014 through Sunday, July 20, 2014.
- On Tuesday, July 22, 2014, we will be hosting our first ever Drive, Chip and Putt Qualifying Contest at the Plantation Preserve Golf Course. Winners will go to the Regional Round and the winners of the Regional Round will be invited to the Masters next year in April.

- We will be hosting the South Florida PGA Junior Tour on Wednesday, July 23, 2014 and Thursday, July 24, 2014 at the Plantation Preserve Golf Course.

Councilmember Stoner thanked Mr. Romano and his staff for a wonderful 4th of July. She also thanked our Police Department, Fire Department, Public Works and all of the other employees who participated in making this an extremely successful event.

* * * * *

Resolution No. 11895

4. **RESOLUTION** of Appreciation to James Murray for 29 years of dedicated service to the City of Plantation.

Motion by Councilmember Fadgen, seconded by Councilmember Jacobs, to approve Resolution No. 11895 as presented. Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Zimmerman, Fadgen, Jacobs, Levy, Stoner
 Nays: None

* * * * *

Mayor Bendekovic made the following announcements:

- The Friends of the Library Used Book Sale is this weekend, Friday, July 11, 2014 between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. and Saturday, 12, 2014 between 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
- The Supervisor of Election needs precinct workers; please contact the Supervisor of Elections office.
- Broward County Property Appraiser Community Outreach Events. Representatives will be available at the Outreach Center every Wednesday in July between 12:00 p.m. and 2:00 p.m. to assist with Homestead, seniors and other property tax exemption applications.
- The Plantation Farmer’s Market is every Saturday between 8:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. at Volunteer Park.

* * * * *

CONSENT AGENDA

As a Commissioner of the CRA, Mayor Bendekovic has a voting privilege on Item No. 15.

Item No. 7, 11, and 12 were pulled for discussion and voted on separately.

Mr. Morgan read the Consent Agenda by title.

5. Request to approve funds to renew yearly maintenance for the Infor (Hansen) Assets application. (Budgeted – IT)
6. Approved resurfacing Tennis Courts at Jacaranda Lakes and Jim Ward Community Center and Frank Veltri Tennis Center. (Budgeted – Parks and Recreation)

8. Request for authorization to execute an agreement between the City of Plantation and Craven Thompson & Associates, Inc. based on the successful negotiations relating to RFQ No. 009-14, "Professional Engineering Services, Plantation Gardens Phase IIB and Historical Area Water Main Rehabilitation". (Budgeted – Utilities)

Ordinance No. 2508

9. **ORDINANCE** Second and Final Reading of an ordinance pertaining to the subject of growth management; amending the City of Plantation Code of Ordinances to adopt a model Floodplain Management Ordinance with certain changes appropriate for Plantation; adopting increased standards relative to additional elevation (freeboard) "Minimum base floor elevation plus one and one-half foot (1.5')", cumulative substantial improvement, limitations on enclosures under elevated buildings, compaction requirements for fill, critical facilities, and "repetitive loss," referencing the most recent flood hazard maps; designating a floodplain administrator; adopting procedures and criteria for development in flood hazard areas; repealing inconsistent municipal regulation; providing for a new Article II of Chapter 9 of the Plantation Code Relative to Stormwater Management Regulations and amending same to consistent with the Floodplain Management Ordinance where appropriate; providing for applicability; repealer; severability; and providing an effective date therefor.

Resolution No. 11896

10. **RESOLUTION** of the City of Plantation, Florida, authorizing the acceptance of the addendum (Exhibit "G") to the EMS County Grant Funding Agreement for the purchase of mass casualty incident (MCI) equipment at a cost of \$8,860 and providing for an effective date.

Resolution No. 11897

13. **RESOLUTION** approving the Expenditures and Appropriations reflected in the weekly Expenditure Report for the period June 19, 2014 through July 2, 2014 for the Plantation Midtown Development District.

Resolution No. 11898

14. **RESOLUTION** approving the Expenditures and Appropriations reflected in the weekly Expenditure Report for the period June 19, 2014 through July 2, 2014.

Resolution No. 11899

15. **RESOLUTION** approving the Expenditures and Appropriations reflected in the weekly Expenditure Report for the period June 19, 2014 through July 2, 2014 for the City of Plantation's Community Redevelopment Agency.

Motion by Councilmember Fadgen, seconded by Councilmember Zimmerman, to approve tonight's Consent Agenda as printed. Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Zimmerman, Fadgen, Jacobs, Levy, Stoner
Nays: None

Mayor Bendekovic voted affirmatively on Item No. 15.

* * * * *

Mr. Morgan read Item No. 7.

7. Request for approval to issue a work authorization to Hazen & Sawyer, P.C. in an amount not to exceed \$299,800 for design, permitting and bidding services of the generator upgrades project at the City's East and Central Water Treatment Plants and Central Wellfield. (Budgeted – Utilities)

An Interoffice Memorandum dated July 1, 2014, to Mayor Bendekovic and Members of City Council, from Chuck Flynn, Director of Utilities, follows:

The City of Plantation is presently in violation of the EPA's Tier 4 emission regulations for the four generators at the Central and East Water Treatment Plants (WTP's) that are participating in Florida, Power and Light's (FPL) Commercial/Industrial Load Control Program (CILC). The City saves thousands of dollars per year in electrical costs participating in the CILC program. The City desires to achieve compliance with the EPA's Tier 4 emissions regulations and continues to realize electrical cost savings through FPL's CILC program at both WTP's. Furthermore, as part of our green initiative, the City desires to reduce carbon monoxide emissions by complying with EPA's Tier 4 emission requirements.

Since the existing generator engine exhaust emissions carbon monoxide levels were not originally required to be constructed to operate below these emissions limitation parameters, compliance can be achieved through use of an appropriately selected diesel oxidation catalyst (DOC) type converter. The converter can be used with an existing engine in order to reduce the engine's exhaust CO content to meet EPA requirements (limit concentration of carbon monoxide (CO) in the stationary RICE exhaust to 23 parts per million volumetric dry (ppmvd) at 15 percent O₂ or reduce CO by 70% or more).

Hazen and Sawyer prepared a technical memorandum (TM) summarizing the issues of concern. Based on this TM, the City requested a proposal for the design of the required improvements. The scope was prepared by Hazen and Sawyer, PC to address the EPA compliance issues along with other necessary generator improvements, including the following:

- Design for the installation of the DOC units for environmental compliance purposes on the four generators at the Central and East WTP's.
- Design for the conversion from water cooled heat exchangers to air cooled radiators for three of the generators to minimize water usage requirements onsite at both WTP's.
- Design for the relocation and replacement of the Central wellfield generator to be constructed outside of the wellfield protection zones as required by the Broward County Environmental Protection and Growth Management Division.

Combining these projects into one upgrade project will minimize contractual requirements and general overhead/mobilization costs. Please note that time is of the essence and the City should begin this project immediately to avoid any potential fines for noncompliance with EPA emission standards.

Hazen and Sawyer has provided the proposal to design the referenced generator improvements to include civil, mechanical, structural, electrical and instrumentation design requirements, permitting and bidding services in the amount of \$299,800.

This information is provided for your review and approval to issue a work authorization to Hazen and Sawyer, P.C. in an amount not to exceed \$299,800 for the above-referenced generator upgrades at the water treatment plants and central wellfield.

W.A. #1314-009 H&S

Funding: 405- Utilities System Revenue Note – Series 2013

Councilmember Zimmerman expressed concern about the overall fees for the project. We are approving a project at 17% fees but on top of that we have construction and administration fees, which add the percentage up to 21%. It seems like those fees are high, especially for a design project, and since we just approved a contract in the Consent Agenda where fees were around the 12% to 13%. With limited backup provided he is not comfortable approving this tonight. He suggested that the item be moved or tabled until there is some additional information.

Councilmember Stoner questioned Councilmember Zimmerman's solution and whether he was looking for more backup or for a revision to the numbers.

Councilmember Zimmerman advised that he would like more backup to see where the hours are coming from and the amount of fee. He believes that a 21% fee for a construction design project is excessive.

Councilmember Stoner agreed.

Chuck Flynn, Utilities Director, indicated that he briefly discussed this with Councilmember Zimmerman prior to the meeting. There are five different disciplines on the back of the front page in five different locations. Each water plan has two generators in a different building plus there is an independent generator in the wellfield. There are five separate generators and five separate locations. Each location has a structural component, a mechanical component, an electrical component, an instrumentation component and the total of that is 66 drawings at a very reasonable \$4,000 per sheet, which is a full sheet of a plan. That is \$240,000 out of the \$299,000. All of that work is documentation, planning and drawings. We have to have the electrical; it is crucial because these are the backup generators for the water plants, as well as the instrumentation. He has reviewed this project. If Council wishes to pull it he will document it further and come back, if not, he would appreciate Council's support.

Councilmember Stoner mentioned one thing that she has indicated previously is that typically labor costs have to be backed up by an audit which reflects the multiplier. One of the categories listed is a typist/clerical, which would be an indication that this is someone on the low end and probably is making \$15 to \$16 per hour. When they want to charge \$53 per hour that says that they have a minimum of a 30 multiplier minimum.

Mr. Flynn noted that is negotiated in the contract.

Councilmember Stoner commented that the multiplier is not shown. Just because they have a 30 multiplier does not mean the City has to pay a 30 multiplier.

Mr. Flynn stated that his understanding is that we do because it is in our contract. They can give us a reduced number of hours; however, we cannot negotiate the rate.

Councilmember Stoner advised that she has never seen their contract.

Mr. Flynn indicated that the contract was passed approximately September 2013.

Councilmember Stoner stated that the fees are not reviewed on a yearly basis for what is going forward. If this is exactly what this was based on from an audit and multiplier last year then she believes that when we come up for an annual review we need to relook at their multiplier because from what she sees in the private market sector, they have a fairly high multiplier.

Mr. Flynn indicated that he can look into that but stated that from his experience, this is a very complex project; it is not over budgeted; it is under budget.

Councilmember Stoner commented that no one is disputing the complexity of the project. She is saying that the hourly rates they have presented show an extremely high multiplier, which is not in line with the common multipliers that are being accepted for other contracts throughout the County. She thinks it behooves the City to take an extra step to look at the labor rates and multipliers that are presented. It is additional backup that is set forth to help the City save money.

Mr. Flynn advised that they look at the hours; they do not look into the rate that was negotiated with the contract.

Councilmember Stoner stated that the hours are multiplied by the rates. She emphasized that this is something that we need to take an extra look at and she is requesting that he please do that.

Mr. Flynn replied that he would.

Motion by Councilmember Zimmerman, seconded by Councilmember Fadgen, to table Item No. 7 until the July 23, 2014 meeting. Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Zimmerman, Fadgen, Jacobs, Levy, Stoner

Nays: None

* * * * *

Mr. Morgan read Item No. 11.

Resolution No. 11900

11. **RESOLUTION** for the appointment of a temporary part-time Chief Administrative Officer for the City of Plantation's Administration Department fixing the hourly compensation to be paid for said executive position; and the appointment of an individual to said executive position.

A Memorandum dated July 9, 2014, to Members of City Council, from Mayor Diane Veltri Bendekovic, follows:

Presently, the regular full-time Chief Administrative Officer position is vacant. With the upcoming voter referendum in November, which will determine Plantation's form of government for the future and also the 2015 March elections for City Council/Mayor, it was decided to postpone the recruitment for a regular full-time Chief Administrative Officer until more certainty was known to appropriately target recruitment. In the meantime; however, the critical support by the Chief Administrative Officer for the Mayor and City Council remains unmet.

To address this void in the interim period, it is recommended that the Chief Administrative Officer be filled on a temporary assignment part-time flexible hours with retiree Dan Keefe effective October 1, 2014 through April 20, 2015. Mr. Keefe is willing to provide his services to the City of Plantation on a temporary part-time flexible basis for this period of time. At the conclusion of this time period, we will revisit the direction necessary for this position and proceed accordingly.

Your consideration is appreciated.

Mayor Bendekovic indicated that we need a replacement Chief Administrative Officer. At this time there is such an uncertainty in the City of Plantation with the November election coming up that we do not know if we are going to have a Strong Mayor or a City Manager. Even after that, there is another election coming up, which is a Mayor's election, and we do not know who will be elected at that time. It would be difficult to fill the position. There are three or four major items coming up that she really would appreciate some assistance with; therefore, she asked Mr. Keefe if he would consider coming back on a temporary basis. He said that he would do so for three days a week. He would be paid on an hourly basis and no benefits are involved. In checking with some headhunters, they said that it would be very difficult to get someone in that position with the uncertainty at this time in the City of Plantation.

Mr. Keefe advised that the Mayor contacted him and asked if he would be willing to assist. He stated that he certainly would like to do some part-time temporary work to help the City get through this transition.

Mayor Bendekovic advised that Mr. Keefe will begin on October 1, 2014 until the end of April 2015. That will take us through all of the different elections.

Motion by Councilmember Jacobs, seconded by Councilmember Zimmerman, to approve Resolution No. 11900 as presented. Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Zimmerman, Fadgen, Jacobs, Levy, Stoner
Nays: None

* * * * *

Mr. Morgan read Item No. 12.

Resolution No. 11901

12. **RESOLUTION** approving the Expenditures and Appropriations reflected in the Weekly Expenditure Report for the period June 19, 2014 through July 2, 2014 for the Plantation Gateway Development District.

Dennis Conklin, resident, was present. He questioned RM Maintenance for two separate additions of the backup material. July had an RM Maintenance issue of \$1,300 and the June Maintenance was for \$16,000.

Mayor Bendekovic advised that is the Public Works fee and it was reduced due to the funding they were lacking. That is maintenance from the Public Works crew that only does the Gateway area.

Mr. Conklin questioned if one was a multiple month payment and the other was a single month payment.

Mayor Bendekovic stated that one was with Angel Landscaping and Maintenance, which is a private company. The other was the Public Works Department that has a crew of five.

Ed Consual, Public Works Director, indicated that the \$16,000 was what they charged per month, which is a continuous figure that comes out to \$200,000. The \$1,300 was for a private company hired by the CRA office to do some private landscaping that Public Works was not involved in. He clarified that the private company did a very small job. The \$16,000 covers cutting and cleaning up the entire corridor throughout the month.

Motion by Councilmember Jacobs, seconded by Councilmember Fadgen, to approve Resolution No. 11901 as presented. Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Zimmerman, Fadgen, Jacobs, Levy, Stoner

Nays: None

* * * * *

ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS

16. BUDGET BACKGROUND, HIGHLIGHTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

A Memorandum dated July 1, 2014, to Members of City Council, from Diane Veltri Bendekovic, Mayor, follows:

A brief presentation will be given at the July 9, 2014 City Council meeting regarding options for setting the City's Maximum Millage Rate. Highlights include:

- A brief background
- The climate going into this year's budget
- Several budget/millage rate scenarios
- A summary, including recommendations

Mayor Bendekovic advised that the maximum millage rate will be set, which means that once we proceed the millage rate cannot be increased. Three balanced budgets will be presented; however, Administration is proposing one. When making a recommendation the property value increase of 7.4% was taken into consideration, unfortunately, the increase does not provide enough revenue for the moderate funding of capital. Since October 2011 Plantation no longer has a \$12.9 million deficit; the balance is now balanced without using reserves. The budget is actually balanced on two revenues, not on speculation or one-time revenues. Expenditures have been substantially decreased to almost 9%. Balancing the budget, even with the increased property values, proved to be a daunting task since catch up challenges still exist. The goals of Administration have remained constant; first and foremost, sustaining and maintaining the quality of service in the life of living in Plantation, which staff has been most successful in achieving. We have also been able to place some funds in our unassigned reserves and have been graduating and restoring much needed capital. We have been holding the line on personnel benefits to our Wellness Center negotiation and expenditures have been decreased. We are finally balancing the budget without using reserves. Only by sacrifices from employees, residents, businesses and the tough calls made by this Council during the years did we endure the turbulence and only by continuing to work together will we ensure security. Several factors still exist that have significantly impacted this year's budget; 1) We are still in recovery mode from the economic tsunami, which will take years to recoup

the revenue lost; 2) The cost of doing business continues to increase; 3) Additional revenue resources have declined, dried up and have been denied; 4) Benefits cost increase even though there have been a significant cost avoidance of \$2.2 million over the past years; and finally, maintaining the quality of service to our residents with less resources and manpower.

Mayor Bendekovic stated that four sheets have been provided to Council and each of the sheets are the General Fund. The first sheet is a budget that is not balanced; the difference is with the capital. The needs were \$5.2 million. Without a balanced budget we have a deficit of \$4 million. The next sheet shows a zero increase in millage. The capital is \$1.1 million and that is a balanced budget. The next sheet shows .3 mils, which is a moderate funding of the capital. The last is .5 mils, which shows the capital as \$4.6 million. That is where the difference is. The special district was also given along with the cost of a City Manager versus the cost of a Strong Mayor. She compared her house and taxes with nine other cities, which shows we are ranked at 7th or 8th.

Mayor Bendekovic provided the following presentation:

Ad valorem revenue:

- For the last four years it has been 4.5 mils; 4.6 mils; 5.6 mils; and 5.6 mils.

Millage rate:

- Plantation is in the bottom third. It was noted this is not including Fire Fees.

Voted Debt Service – City Comparison*:

- She wanted to go out on a referendum with a General Obligation Bond and two key components to securing a General Obligation Bond are to have unassigned reserves; 5% of the General Fund; and also to have investments back in the City, which would be capital.
- All of the cities have Voted Debt and Plantation does not.
- We are currently \$21.9 million behind in our capital.

Cost of goods and services/CPI:

- The cost of living is going up and consumer price index increases.

2014 General fund budget overview:

- This year we have 580 full time personnel; 193 part time personnel; and our millage rate was 5.6.

Unassigned reserves: City comparison:

- We are in last place and it is not an honor to hold this place. Another name for unassigned reserves is rainy day funds. We have increased; we were \$935,000 last year and we were able to add \$1.9 million to the unassigned reserves, which brought it up to \$2.9 million.

GOING INTO THIS BUDGET YEAR:

Change in taxable property values:

- Plantation has been one of five cities countywide to have a continual increase in property values. Each year we have almost doubled; however, we are still losing 11.48% of our revenue.
- Appreciation is going up due to the property values.

Reserve balances:

- We have a total of \$27.6 million reserves; however, those are restricted and assigned. We are still at \$2.95 million and need to be at approximately \$5 million.

Expenses – Personnel related:

- Personnel are 73% of the budget.
- \$6.5 million is 28% of the payroll.
- Our FOP is funded by the 185 money and Fire is funded by the 175 money.

Pension:

- Fire does not have a required contribution.

Merit Increase:

- Employees have not had raises in four years and we are recommending a 3% raise for anyone with one or two years and a 5% raise for those with more than three years, which would be a cost of \$1.3 million.

Longevity:

- 302 General Employees receive longevity.
- 82 FOP members receive longevity.

Expenses – City Manager/Mayor salaries:

- Information was provided depending on where the election is going.
- Plantation is at the bottom of the list with the Mayor at \$117,000 and the Chief Administration Officer at \$120,000 for a total of \$237,000.
- The figures are done by population and by assistance. It runs anywhere from a low of \$2.09 up to \$62.96. With Plantation the total with the Chief Administrative Officer and the Mayor is \$1.94; there would be an increase of \$1.94 because it is actually \$2.69 per resident.
- A ranking was done for Mayor versus Manager.

Capital expenses:

- We have been playing catch up with capital expenses.
- We did get to \$1.7 million in 2013; \$2.8 million in 2014; and \$5.2 million in 2015.
- We are still playing catch up and the total of that is \$21.9 million.

Capital expenses by department:

- The majority of the capital expenses go where it should with Public Safety, Police, Fire and Fire Rescue. It then goes to IT and Parks and Recreation. The other departments have a very limited amount of capital expenses.
- Directors requested \$5.2 million this year for their needs, not wants.
- The proposed budget would get a moderate funding of \$3.3 million.

Revenue options:

- Stormwater was implemented in 2014 (\$25 per year which is \$2.09 per month for residents). In Plantation Acres is \$112.42 per quarter acre.
- Charges for services implemented in 2013-14 were increased Parks and Recreation fees, public safety impact, business fees and Fire inspection.
- Utility Service tax was denied.
- Fire Assessment was denied.
- Surplus land was denied.
- The budget was balanced based on actual revenue sources, not on speculation.
- The only revenue option left is the millage increase.

Dr. Caravella provided three budget scenarios in more detail as follows:

- One is at no millage increase; .3 mil increase, which is the proposed budget; and a .5 mil increase.
- The proposed budget is based on the .3 mil increase and that is also the rate that is being recommended as the maximum millage.

Ad valorem collection scenarios:

- This was based on property value increase of 7.42%.

General Fund Budget Statement:

(Not balanced with all capital; no millage increase)

- This will leave us at a \$4 million deficit.
- If we kept the millage rate at 5.6142 with just the property value increase we would be able to fund \$1.1 million in capital. Basically this is what is needed for IT for operational capital for one year, so that would be where that funding would be placed. As mentioned, it services all of the departments.
- With this scenario you get a balanced budget; raises are included; pension costs are included; as well as medical benefits.
- We do not get much needed capital; we will not be able to replenish the unassigned reserves; and we will go back to the Collective Bargaining table in April 2015.
- This scenario does not give us any foresight of what those costs might be.
- We want to start a City Manager Contingency Fund so if that is the route voters take so we have some funds to make that happen.

0.5 Mil increase:

- This will start turning the corner into fiscal recovery.

General Fund Budget Statement:

0.5 Mil Increase:

- The total expenditures will be \$92 million; \$4.7 million will be funded in capital; that is the majority of our capital needs; we would have to reduce capital by about \$500,000.
- This will get us capital improvements; raises; pensions; medical benefits; and funding for the City Manager Contingency Account, which will be about \$50,000 to start.
- We still will not put anything into rainy day funds or unassigned reserves.
- That would eliminate any major capital so we immediately eliminated toward the Bond making over \$1 million and we would not be able to start funding the Collective Bargaining contract.
- We would be turning the corner.

.03 Mil increase:

- This is a 5.9142 millage rate.
- This is the recommended millage rate and the proposed budget.

Proposed General Fund Budget Statement:

0.3 Mil Increase:

- The total budget is \$91.46 million and the capital that would be funded is in the red at \$3.3 million.
- We will get capital; raises; pensions; medical benefits; money for the City Manager Contingency Fund.
- We will not get other needed capital improvements; unassigned reserves and the Collective Bargaining contract.

Special District Funds:

- There are no increases for either District.

Plantation Midtown – 1.0 mil.

- They had a property value increase of 10.22%; one year generates about \$1.19 million in revenue; the Board has made a motion in support of this.
- They are still behind so their budget will not contain any capital; this will still have to be deferred for a couple more years.

Plantation Gateway – 2.0 mils.

- They had a property value increase of 4.89%; one year generates \$418,000; the Board approved this.
- A couple of things we did last year, which we will keep in place this year was reduce the maintenance cost and eliminate the cost allocation.
- They are no longer operating in the red and are able to put \$230,000 into reserves per year.

Mayor Bendekovic summarized as follows:

Summary of proposed scenarios:

- 0 millage increase will give us \$1.1 million of capital.
- .3 millage increase will give us a moderate amount of capital at \$3.3 million; however, we would eliminate \$1.9 million of the requested needs, which was \$5.2 million.
- .5 millage increase will give us \$5.2 million in capital and it still shorts us almost a half a million dollars.
- In order to get to that area where we would have surplus, it would be a 6.2 mil and that is not what we are recommending.

Summary of scenarios:

Property tax impact; annual & (per month):

- We went to different neighborhoods and got what the rate would be of the property value increase.
- The majority of the bill is to Broward County and the School Board.

Strategies taken to “right the ship”:

- We need to have goals and strategies.
- In 2011 we were at \$12.9 million.
- Tough decisions were the right choices for the City of Plantation.
- All decisions are based on needs, not wants.
- The balanced budget is without using reserves and based on actualized revenue.

BALANCING THE BUDGET:

Expenditures:

- Reorganization of Finance, Utilities, Planning and Zoning, City Clerk, Design, Landscape and Construction, and Advisory Boards.
- Privatization, benefit changes, wage freezes (4 years), furloughs, refinance, collective bargaining.
- Tram service was cancelled at \$220,000.
- Restructuring/cost allocations.

Revenue:

- Increase in millage rates.
- Waste Management/Sun Bergeron.
- Public Safety impact fees.
- Water rate increase to fund infrastructure loan.
- Store water fee.
- Grants.
- It was noted that we just received \$900,000 from FEMA for air packs for the Fire Department and all we have to pay is 10%, which is about \$94,000.

- We recently received a \$50,000 from a FRDAP grant.
- We are constantly looking at grants.

Action requested from Council:

- We need to set the maximum millage for the City and Special Districts.
- Midtown – 1.0 mil.
- Gateway – 2.0 mils
- .3 mil would be the maximum for the recommended.

This is a fluid document; it is not set in stone. The First Public Hearing is September 3, 2014 and the Second Public Hearing is September 15, 2014.

Councilmember Fadgen commented that it would have been nice to have the documents a little ahead of time. He was happy to see that the employees' raises were included; he believes that is important. It has been his experience that every time we approve the maximum, except for a very few exceptions, the maximum is what gets implemented. He is going to support no change in the millage rate as a maximum rate and by the time we have the budget hearings we might find other opportunities to balance the budget and perhaps capital will take the biggest hit.

Councilmember Stoner commented that no millage increase shows \$4 million in the hole. The \$1.35 million is for raises, which she thinks everyone collectively agrees is long overdue. She questioned if one or two items could be pinpointed that made up the \$4 million.

Mayor Bendekovic advised that it is the capital; \$5.2 million.

Councilmember Stoner stated that when she thinks of capital she thinks more infrastructure. We are not alone; this is a nationwide concern and it is almost becoming an emergency situation for our aging infrastructure. She questioned if that was something that was being looked at to incorporate into the General Obligation Bond.

Mayor Bendekovic indicated that anything over \$1 million was going to be put into the General Obligation Bond. That would be for something like building the Station #1 Fire Station. Right now the capital would be mostly with the Police Department. For example, \$600,000 for the shooting range; nothing has been done to that range since 1985/1986; it is in dire need. There are also police cars.

Dr. Caravella commented that capital can be seen for each department. Police is on page 43. We cannot get to infrastructure; we do not have the trucks or air paks. Life safety issues are seen as more important than infrastructure. The Police shooting range would be moved to next year or to the Bond if we are able to secure it. The same goes with Motorola.

Councilmember Stoner stated that during the whole stormwater presentation with Mr. DeCelles and Mr. Butler, one of the constant reminders is that out of sight and out of mind. She is seeing this on the infrastructure over and over. It is not that all of those things are not important but she is thinking that we are going to be in real trouble with the infrastructure. Perhaps Mr. Butler has a little more information as to whether we need to make a specific line item to address infrastructure.

Dr. Caravella advised that the stormwater is a separate fund. They are coming up with a capital plan. It is not a large amount we are collecting in revenues and able to put aside. We were talking about a small loan up front.

Councilmember Stoner noted that we discussed a bridge loan for a while. She questioned where we are with the infrastructure.

Mr. Butler believes there was a good reflection on several years of discussion with the Council about stormwater infrastructure. They have been waiting patiently for many years to have the kind of revenue streams they need in order to do the things that need to be done. There are neighborhoods that need significant infrastructure replacement or upgrade. There are equipment purchases that are needed so they can continue to comply with Federal Water Quality Mandates in certain permits that are held. Councilmember Stoner is correct; there is a need for these things. The revenue stream they are realizing now with the stormwater utility is very modest. They actually have to make some adjustments off of the original projections to do some things and it is setting them back a little further. Discussion in the past included the notion of talking about how they might be able to secure a small loan and paying it back through the new fund. It is something they really would like to discuss because without some sort of start up money to do something more significant they will limp along.

Councilmember Stoner mentioned that the revenue will be used for the debt service and questioned if that should be forthcoming.

Mr. Butler replied yes. He does not think they have budgeted for vehicle type equipment purchases as of yet but they are planning to do so. They have a little strategy with the money they are working with to try to get an important piece of equipment purchased. They are strategizing to use the small revenue stream they have available to get that piece of equipment in next year's budget.

Councilmember Stoner questioned how Mr. Butler feels about a potential millage increase as a resident of the City.

Mr. Butler stated that he is not offended by this; he has been in Plantation for many years prior to being employed here. He knows the value of what he gets and has no issues whatsoever.

Mayor Bendekovic referenced page 50 and mentioned Fire with the 2015 capital needed. Each one of the departments have capital listed and it depends on what the millage rate is or if there is not an increase what will have to be done and taken away. There is no one-time revenue foreseen like there was last year.

Councilmember Stoner questioned where they are looking to find the other couple of million dollars for the unassigned reserves in order to go out for the bond.

Mayor Bendekovic indicated that it will take a while; it will probably take another couple of years. We have to go to a referendum to the people and get their approval to do it and then assign that debt service. She believes it will be at that point in 2016.

Dr. Caravella stated that we are still trying to maintain an extremely tight ship; all of the departments are under budget. She monitors that monthly and meets with the directors. We are hoping for a little extra money left over from this year that can be put in the reserves.

Councilmember Stoner mentioned that the merit increase shown is \$1.35 million but the last increase four years ago totaled \$750,000. The number has doubled yet no one has had a raise and we have supposedly lost employees through attrition and a variety of other things. She questioned how this figure is \$1.35 million.

Mayor Bendekovic advised that the raise back then was at 3% and it was divided in half at 1.5% and 1.5%, which comes down to a 2% raise. She believes a 3% raise was \$895,000. She felt that there had to be a separation between the newly hired employees and employees that incurred all of the hardship.

Councilmember Stoner suggested a 1.5% and a 3% increase.

Mayor Bendekovic mentioned a 3% and a 5%.

Councilmember Stoner still thinks that the economy is in a precarious position and that taxpayers are skiddish. She does not know of anyone in the private sector that has received a 5% raise and many of them have not had raises either.

Mayor Bendekovic stated that there are bonuses given in corporations.

Councilmember Stoner noted that those were cut back severely; lots of people lost benefits. City of Plantation employees get longevity, which is parallel to a bonus. There was a conscious decision in FOP negotiations to cut that longevity to half. That conversation extended to doing the same thing for General Employees.

Mayor Bendekovic commented that she would not recommend it at this time because we are going to be going back into Collective Bargaining. She would like to hold off on that and see if it is going to continue.

Councilmember Stoner mentioned that we lose a whole year in the budget year.

Mayor Bendekovic stated that she needs a consensus if that is going to happen and she also needs a consensus on the raises.

Councilmember Stoner does not know if another way can be found to allow these benefits to continue and go forward. She knows everyone has chipped in and done extra work.

Mayor Bendekovic indicated that they have also had money taken out because they have had to pay 8% for insurance contributions and they have been without raises for four years. If they would have gotten 3% that would have been 12%. They also had furlough days. The point is that this would be the fifth year and they are getting 1% for each year.

Councilmember Fadgen feels strongly that we do as Mayor Bendekovic is suggesting on the salary. The employees have given a great deal and it is important that we do it at this point. If we have to cut somewhere we have to cut somewhere else. As far as the longevity, he thinks that the raise is more important than the longevity. It is a nice benefit but he would be flexible on that.

Councilmember Jacobs commented that with no millage increase we have \$1.1 million going in the capital and we have raises and longevity, which looks so much better than what we have been looking at for five years. He personally has no problem following Administration's recommendations on all of it; raises, longevity, and the millage increase. He would even go so far as to set the maximum millage higher because it is the maximum. Even though Councilmember Fadgen is correct in saying that usually whatever is set at the maximum is usually

what is put in, that is not always the case. In the years that he has been on the Council the maximum millage has been set higher and not implemented but there is the flexibility should something unforeseen occur during the budgeting process. We are at a proposed budget and things can happen between now and when we have a better idea where the budget is. He understands that is a politically and economically difficult thing to do because we are still not recovering. Our governing is focused on income and redistribution, not on growth. He would at least go with the recommendation to raise the millage a little.

Councilmember Zimmerman mentioned that even the private sector is struggling trying to decide on raises and things like that. He agrees with the consensus of the group to go ahead with the Mayor's recommendation on salary increases. He does have concern about the longevity and maybe we need to look at that. Perhaps these are things we can look at as we go through the budget process. Maybe there are some other benefits we should look at across the board. He does not agree with going higher than the recommendation; he has concern about raising the millage rate. He does not think we have talked enough about the budget and the proposed items to evaluate it tonight. He is in favor of going to the recommended millage rate but thinks we need more discussion before we accept it as a final. At least give us the option as we go through the budget process to allow us to go with that .3 increase.

Councilmember Levy mentioned that the City of Chicago is suggesting raising the minimum wage to \$13 and Seattle and other places have gone up to \$15. We do not know what the Federal government is going to do and this seems to be the trend. As such, that will put a real damper on our budget if that were to happen because we would have minimum wages that we would have to pay out on contracts, etc. He is more than willing to give some leeway to work with and recommended the .3 for now. With regard to longevity, he has always had a question about this. He would like to examine that as well.

Councilmember Stoner believes the consensus is a yes on the raises. She suggested that employees be given the whole raise; not split it up. The longevity will be discussed as it moves forward.

Mayor Bendekovic advised that is what will be done and she appreciates it. She would like to know what other benefits Councilmember Zimmerman wants to discuss. She questioned if he would like to see what departments are receiving in longevity and how many individuals.

Councilmember Zimmerman stated that the capital of \$4.9 million is broken down throughout the budget book. He questioned if there is a way to get a summary of that.

Mayor Bendekovic indicated that a summary was provided on March 20, 2014. A refresher will be provided because some things were changed. Due to Collective Bargaining in the past we saved about \$1 million in negotiations with the Police Department.

Councilmember Levy believes that it is very important that each one of us discusses the budget with Dr. Caravella and with Department Heads on our own; this can be done without violating any Sunshine Laws. By September 3, 2014 we would have an idea of what we want to do individually and we can come back and not spend a lot of time because there will be a lot of public present. He wants each of us to be sure we know what we are doing and what we want so that we understand how to do this and so we can handle the needs of the public during that meeting and come out with a decision. We have well over a month to do this and he is requesting that everyone follow through with that.

Dennis Conklin, resident, was present. He mentioned the slide presentation that shows what you wind up with at the different millage rates and what is left behind off the table.

Councilmember Stoner congratulated whoever did the Power Point this year; nice job.

Mayor Bendekovic advised that we give Susan DiLaura the information and she puts it together.

Mr. Conklin stated that he would prefer to have the rollback instead of a raise in the millage rate. In keeping the millage rate the same he understands it is very responsible to provide for a pending City Manager fund but he does not think that is possible or probable. He assumes that the figure is the same in each of the three scenarios and questioned the cost.

Mayor Bendekovic indicated that the cost is \$50,000.

Mr. Conklin suggested eliminating that cost and keeping the millage rate the same.

Mayor Bendekovic stated that we still need the fund whether it is a City Manager or a Chief Administrator Officer (CAO) because we will have to go out to get a consultant to do a CAO.

Mr. Conklin urged Council not to increase the millage.

* * * * *

LEGISLATIVE ITEMS

Mr. Morgan read Item No. 17.

Resolution No. 11903

17. **RESOLUTION** ADOPTING THE PROPOSED MAXIMUM MILLAGE OF 5.9142 MILLS, WHICH THE CITY OF PLANTATION CAN ASSESS DURING THE NEXT ENSUING TAX YEAR; ESTABLISHING A TIME AND PLACE FOR A PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER SUCH PROPOSED MILLAGE AND THE TENTATIVE BUDGET; AND OTHERWISE DIRECTING THE TRANSMITTAL OF CERTAIN INFORMATION TO THE BROWARD COUNTY PROPERTY APPRAISER BY AUGUST 4, 2014; PROVIDING FINDINGS; PROVIDING A SAVINGS CLAUSE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE THEREFOR.

A Memorandum dated July 1, 2014, to Mayor Bendekovic and Members of Council, from Kristi Caravella, Financial Services Director, follows:

The State requires that the City set the maximum millage rate so that the Property Appraiser can use these rates for notices that they send to the individual taxpayer. The millage rate forwarded to the Property Appraiser is the maximum millage that the City of Plantation can levy for next year's budget without going through an expensive mailing process. City Council always has the option of lowering the amount of millage at either of the two Public Hearings in September.

The three attached resolutions set the maximum millage rate for the City's funds as follows:

- | | |
|--|-------------|
| 1. City of Plantation Operating Millage | 5.9142 mils |
| 2. Plantation Gateway Development District | 2.0 mils |
| 3. Plantation Midtown Development District | 1.0 mils |

Local governments must conform to the maximum millage limitation requirements first imposed by the Legislature in 2007. These requirements were further amended by a Bill passed in 2008 (CS/SB 1588). The requirements applicable to 2014 are in S.200.065(5) F.S.

For the City of Plantation Fiscal Year 2014/15 budget, the maximum millage requirements applicable to operating millages for all local governments except school districts are:

- By a majority vote (3 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a maximum millage equal to their rolled-back rate adjusted for the change in per capita Florida personal income (1.0315% for FY 2013/14) or 5.5035 mils for the City of Plantation.
- By two-thirds vote (4 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a millage equal to 110% of the majority vote maximum millage rate or 6.0539 mils for the City of Plantation.
- By a unanimous vote or a referendum, taxing authorities may levy any millage up to their constitutional or statutory maximum millage (10.0 mils for the City of Plantation).

The General Fund millage rate of 5.9142 mils recommended for your consideration is an increase of 0.3 mils from last year requiring a two-thirds (2/3) vote from City Council. This rate will generate \$42,365,357 in ad valorem tax revenues. The millage rate being set on July 9th will set the maximum millage rate for FY2015. The maximum millage rate may be revised only downward at the September 3 and 15, 2014 public hearings.

For the Plantation Midtown Development District (PMDD) Fiscal year 2014/15 budget, the maximum millage requirements applicable to operating millages for all local governments except school districts are:

- By a majority vote (3 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a maximum millage equal to their rolled-back rate adjusted for the change in per capita Florida personal income (1.0315% for FY 2014/15) or 0.9931 mils for the PMDD.
- By two-thirds vote (4 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a millage equal to 110% of the majority vote maximum millage rate or 1.0924 mils for the PMDD.
- By a unanimous vote or a referendum, special district taxing authorities may levy any millage up to their constitutional or statutory maximum millage (2.0 mils for the PMDD).

For the Plantation Gateway Development District (PGDD) Fiscal Year 2014/15 budget, the maximum millage requirements applicable to operating millages for all local governments except school districts are:

- By a majority vote (3 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a maximum millage equal to their rolled-back rate adjusted for the change in per capita Florida personal income (1.0315% for FY 2014/15) or 1.9873 mils for the PGDD.
- By two-thirds vote (4 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a millage equal to 110% of the majority vote maximum millage rate or 2.1860 mils for the PGDD.
- By a unanimous vote or a referendum, special district taxing authorities may levy any millage up to their constitutional or statutory maximum millage (2.0 mils for the PGDD).

The recommended maximum millage rate for Plantation Midtown Development District is 1.0 mils; no increase from the adopted FY 2014 millage rate, this millage rate requires a two-thirds (2/3) vote from City Council. The recommended maximum millage rate for Plantation Gateway Development District is 2.0 mils; no increase from the adopted FY 2014 millage rate, this millage rate requires a two-thirds (2/3) vote from City Council.

The recommended millage rate for these two districts will continue to reposition them to be financially solvent and to be able to support future capital projects.

While Administration is able to present a balanced budget with no millage increase, this budget scenario funds little to no capital needs (approximately \$1.1 million). Therefore, Administration recommends a 0.30 mil increase which will fund approximately \$3.3 million in capital needs. Neither of these budget scenarios accomplishes the task of replenishing unassigned reserves. Since much of our capital needs, which total approximately \$21.1 million, continue to be deferred, the City plans to seed a General Obligation Bond in 2016 which requires reserves of at least 5% of the General Fund budget, or approximately \$5 million.

The proposed budget with a .03 mil increase includes raises for general employees of 3% for employees with less than three years of service and 5% for those with more than three years of service. Increases in both pension and health benefits were incurred as well, increasing the general fund budget from last year's budget by \$6.9 million. The proposed budget does not include any new non ad valorem assessments such as storm water or fire. The property value increase of 7.45% for the City provides an additional \$2.12 million in ad valorem revenues.

The City has scheduled the date for the First Public Budget Hearing for the Regular Council Meeting at 7:30 p.m. on Wednesday, September 3, 2014.

Please contact me directly at 954-797-2233 if you have any questions.

Motion by Councilmember Jacobs, seconded by Councilmember Levy, to approve Resolution No. 11903 as presented. Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Zimmerman, Jacobs, Levy, Stoner

Nays: Fadgen

* * * * *

Mr. Morgan read Item No. 18.

Resolution No. 11904

18. **RESOLUTION** ADOPTING THE PROPOSED MAXIMUM MILLAGE OF 2.0 MILLS WHICH THE PLANTATION GATEWAY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT CAN ASSESS DURING THE NEXT ENSUING TAX YEAR; ESTABLISHING A TIME AND PLACE FOR A PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER SUCH PROPOSED MILLAGE AND THE TENTATIVE BUDGET; AND OTHERWISE DIRECTING THE TRANSMITTAL OF CERTAIN INFORMATION TO THE BROWARD COUNTY PROPERTY APPRAISER BY AUGUST 4, 2014; PROVIDING A SAVINGS CLAUSE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE THEREFOR.

A Memorandum dated July 1, 2014, to Mayor Bendekovic and Members of Council, from Kristi Caravella, Financial Services Director, follows:

The State requires that the City set the maximum millage rate so that the Property Appraiser can use these rates for notices that they send to the individual taxpayer. The millage rate forwarded to the Property Appraiser is the maximum millage that the City of Plantation can levy for next year's budget without going through an

expensive mailing process. City Council always has the option of lowering the amount of millage at either of the two Public Hearings in September.

The three attached resolutions set the maximum millage rate for the City's funds as follows:

- | | |
|--|-------------|
| 1. City of Plantation Operating Millage | 5.9142 mils |
| 2. Plantation Gateway Development District | 2.0 mils |
| 3. Plantation Midtown Development District | 1.0 mils |

Local governments must conform to the maximum millage limitation requirements first imposed by the Legislature in 2007. These requirements were further amended by a Bill passed in 2008 (CS/SB 1588). The requirements applicable to 2014 are in S.200.065(5) F.S.

For the City of Plantation Fiscal Year 2014/15 budget, the maximum millage requirements applicable to operating millages for all local governments except school districts are:

- By a majority vote (3 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a maximum millage equal to their rolled-back rate adjusted for the change in per capita Florida personal income (1.0315% for FY 2013/14) or 5.5035 mils for the City of Plantation.
- By two-thirds vote (4 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a millage equal to 110% of the majority vote maximum millage rate or 6.0539 mils for the City of Plantation.
- By a unanimous vote or a referendum, taxing authorities may levy any millage up to their constitutional or statutory maximum millage (10.0 mils for the City of Plantation).

The General Fund millage rate of 5.9142 mils recommended for your consideration is an increase of 0.3 mils from last year requiring a two-thirds (2/3) vote from City Council. This rate will generate \$42,365,357 in ad valorem tax revenues. The millage rate being set on July 9th will set the maximum millage rate for FY2015. The maximum millage rate may be revised only downward at the September 3 and 15, 2014 public hearings.

For the Plantation Midtown Development District (PMDD) Fiscal year 2014/15 budget, the maximum millage requirements applicable to operating millages for all local governments except school districts are:

- By a majority vote (3 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a maximum millage equal to their rolled-back rate adjusted for the change in per capita Florida personal income (1.0315% for FY 2014/15) or 0.9931 mils for the PMDD.
- By two-thirds vote (4 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a millage equal to 110% of the majority vote maximum millage rate or 1.0924 mils for the PMDD.
- By a unanimous vote or a referendum, special district taxing authorities may levy any millage up to their constitutional or statutory maximum millage (2.0 mils for the PMDD).

For the Plantation Gateway Development District (PGDD) Fiscal Year 2014/15 budget, the maximum millage requirements applicable to operating millages for all local governments except school districts are:

- By a majority vote (3 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a maximum millage equal to their rolled-back rate adjusted for the change in per capita Florida personal income (1.0315% for FY 2014/15) or 1.9873 mils for the PGDD.
- By two-thirds vote (4 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a millage equal to 110% of the majority vote maximum millage rate or 2.1860 mils for the PGDD.

- By a unanimous vote or a referendum, special district taxing authorities may levy any millage up to their constitutional or statutory maximum millage (2.0 mils for the PGDD).

The recommended maximum millage rate for Plantation Midtown Development District is 1.0 mils; no increase from the adopted FY 2014 millage rate, this millage rate requires a two-thirds (2/3) vote from City Council. The recommended maximum millage rate for Plantation Gateway Development District is 2.0 mils; no increase from the adopted FY 2014 millage rate, this millage rate requires a two-thirds (2/3) vote from City Council. The recommended millage rate for these two districts will continue to reposition them to be financially solvent and to be able to support future capital projects.

While Administration is able to present a balanced budget with no millage increase, this budget scenario funds little to no capital needs (approximately \$1.1 million). Therefore, Administration recommends a 0.30 mil increase which will fund approximately \$3.3 million in capital needs. Neither of these budget scenarios accomplishes the task of replenishing unassigned reserves. Since much of our capital needs, which total approximately \$21.1 million, continue to be deferred, the City plans to seed a General Obligation Bond in 2016 which requires reserves of at least 5% of the General Fund budget, or approximately \$5 million.

The proposed budget with a .03 mil increase includes raises for general employees of 3% for employees with less than three years of service and 5% for those with more than three years of service. Increases in both pension and health benefits were incurred as well, increasing the general fund budget from last year's budget by \$6.9 million. The proposed budget does not include any new non ad valorem assessments such as storm water or fire. The property value increase of 7.45% for the City provides an additional \$2.12 million in ad valorem revenues.

The City has scheduled the date for the First Public Budget Hearing for the Regular Council Meeting at 7:30 p.m. on Wednesday, September 3, 2014.

Please contact me directly at 954-797-2233 if you have any questions.

Motion by Councilmember Jacobs, seconded by Councilmember Levy, to approve Resolution No. 11904 as presented. Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Zimmerman, Fadgen, Jacobs, Levy, Stoner

Nays: None

* * * * *

Mr. Morgan read Item No. 19.

Resolution No. 11905

19. **RESOLUTION** ADOPTING THE PROPOSED MAXIMUM MILLAGE OF 1.0 MILLS WHICH THE PLANTATION MIDTOWN DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT CAN ASSESS DURING THE NEXT ENSUING TAX YEAR; ESTABLISHING A TIME AND PLACE FOR A PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER SUCH PROPOSED MILLAGE AND THE TENTATIVE BUDGET; AND OTHERWISE DIRECTING THE TRANSMITTAL OF CERTAIN INFORMATION TO THE BROWARD COUNTY PROPERTY APPRAISER BY AUGUST 4, 2014; PROVIDING A SAVINGS CLAUSE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE THEREFOR.

A Memorandum dated July 1, 2014, to Mayor Bendekovic and Members of Council, from Kristi Caravella, Financial Services Director, follows:

The State requires that the City set the maximum millage rate so that the Property Appraiser can use these rates for notices that they send to the individual taxpayer. The millage rate forwarded to the Property Appraiser is the maximum millage that the City of Plantation can levy for next year's budget without going through an expensive mailing process. City Council always has the option of lowering the amount of millage at either of the two Public Hearings in September.

The three attached resolutions set the maximum millage rate for the City's funds as follows:

- | | |
|--|-------------|
| 1. City of Plantation Operating Millage | 5.9142 mils |
| 2. Plantation Gateway Development District | 2.0 mils |
| 3. Plantation Midtown Development District | 1.0 mils |

Local governments must conform to the maximum millage limitation requirements first imposed by the Legislature in 2007. These requirements were further amended by a Bill passed in 2008 (CS/SB 1588). The requirements applicable to 2014 are in S.200.065(5) F.S.

For the City of Plantation Fiscal Year 2014/15 budget, the maximum millage requirements applicable to operating millages for all local governments except school districts are:

- By a majority vote (3 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a maximum millage equal to their rolled-back rate adjusted for the change in per capita Florida personal income (1.0315% for FY 2013/14) or 5.5035 mils for the City of Plantation.
- By two-thirds vote (4 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a millage equal to 110% of the majority vote maximum millage rate or 6.0539 mils for the City of Plantation.
- By a unanimous vote or a referendum, taxing authorities may levy any millage up to their constitutional or statutory maximum millage (10.0 mils for the City of Plantation).

The General Fund millage rate of 5.9142 mils recommended for your consideration is an increase of 0.3 mils from last year requiring a two-thirds (2/3) vote from City Council. This rate will generate \$42,365,357 in ad valorem tax revenues. The millage rate being set on July 9th will set the maximum millage rate for FY2015. The maximum millage rate may be revised only downward at the September 3 and 15, 2014 public hearings.

For the Plantation Midtown Development District (PMDD) Fiscal year 2014/15 budget, the maximum millage requirements applicable to operating millages for all local governments except school districts are:

- By a majority vote (3 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a maximum millage equal to their rolled-back rate adjusted for the change in per capita Florida personal income (1.0315% for FY 2014/15) or 0.9931 mils for the PMDD.
- By two-thirds vote (4 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a millage equal to 110% of the majority vote maximum millage rate or 1.0924 mils for the PMDD.
- By a unanimous vote or a referendum, special district taxing authorities may levy any millage up to their constitutional or statutory maximum millage (2.0 mils for the PMDD).

For the Plantation Gateway Development District (PGDD) Fiscal Year 2014/15 budget, the maximum millage requirements applicable to operating millages for all local governments except school districts are:

- By a majority vote (3 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a maximum millage equal to their rolled-back rate adjusted for the change in per capita Florida personal income (1.0315% for FY 2014/15) or 1.9873 mils for the PGDD.
- By two-thirds vote (4 votes in the City of Plantation), taxing authorities may levy a millage equal to 110% of the majority vote maximum millage rate or 2.1860 mils for the PGDD.
- By a unanimous vote or a referendum, special district taxing authorities may levy any millage up to their constitutional or statutory maximum millage (2.0 mils for the PGDD).

The recommended maximum millage rate for Plantation Midtown Development District is 1.0 mils; no increase from the adopted FY 2014 millage rate, this millage rate requires a two-thirds (2/3) vote from City Council. The recommended maximum millage rate for Plantation Gateway Development District is 2.0 mils; no increase from the adopted FY 2014 millage rate, this millage rate requires a two-thirds (2/3) vote from City Council. The recommended millage rate for these two districts will continue to reposition them to be financially solvent and to be able to support future capital projects.

While Administration is able to present a balanced budget with no millage increase, this budget scenario funds little to no capital needs (approximately \$1.1 million). Therefore, Administration recommends a 0.30 mil increase which will fund approximately \$3.3 million in capital needs. Neither of these budget scenarios accomplishes the task of replenishing unassigned reserves. Since much of our capital needs, which total approximately \$21.1 million, continue to be deferred, the City plans to seed a General Obligation Bond in 2016 which requires reserves of at least 5% of the General Fund budget, or approximately \$5 million.

The proposed budget with a .03 mil increase includes raises for general employees of 3% for employees with less than three years of service and 5% for those with more than three years of service. Increases in both pension and health benefits were incurred as well, increasing the general fund budget from last year's budget by \$6.9 million. The proposed budget does not include any new non ad valorem assessments such as storm water or fire. The property value increase of 7.45% for the City provides an additional \$2.12 million in ad valorem revenues.

The City has scheduled the date for the First Public Budget Hearing for the Regular Council Meeting at 7:30 p.m. on Wednesday, September 3, 2014.

Please contact me directly at 954-797-2233 if you have any questions.

Motion by Councilmember Jacobs, seconded by Councilmember Levy, to approve Resolution No. 11905 as presented. Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Zimmerman, Fadgen, Jacobs, Levy, Stoner
Nays: None

* * * * *

Mr. Morgan read Item No. 20.

20. PUBLIC HEARING AND FIRST READING OF AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF PLANTATION PERTAINING TO THE SUBJECT OF RETIREMENT; AMENDING THE DEFINITION OF POLICE OFFICER TO CORRECT THE STATUTORY REFERENCE; AFFORDING THE CHIEF OF POLICE AN OPTION OF WHETHER TO JOIN THE POLICE OFFICER'S RETIREMENT SYSTEM CONSISTENT WITH STATUTORY PROVISIONS; ALLOWING CONDITIONAL IN SERVICE DISTRIBUTIONS TO THE CHIEF OF POLICE; PROVIDING FINDINGS; PROVIDING A SAVINGS CLAUSE; AND PROVIDING A RETROACTIVE EFFECTIVE DATE OF JULY 1, 2014 THEREFOR.

-AND-

Resolution No. 11902

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF PLANTATION PERTAINING TO THE INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR AGREEMENT FOR POLICE CHIEF SERVICES BETWEEN THE CITY AND WHH SOLUTIONS, LLC; PROVIDING FINDINGS; PROVIDING A SAVINGS CLAUSE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE THEREFOR.

A Memorandum dated June 26, 2014, to Mayor and Members of the City Council, from Donald J. Lunny, Jr., City Attorney, follows:

W. Howard Harrison began his distinguished service to Plantation on December 5, 1083, served the Police Department in a variety of specialized positions (to name a few, a Traffic Homicide Investigator, Detective, Street Tactical Unit Member, SWAT Team Member and Supervisor), and progressed through the ranks of command to become Chief of Police on October 4, 2009. As the Council may know, the Chief of Police is nearing the end of his DROP period. As a result of the timing of certain matters, the Chief and the Administration reasonably believe that the City's ability to attract candidates for this critical position is compromised in the short term by:

1. The upcoming Mayoral election in March 2015 (the Mayor appoints the Chief of Police which is subject to the City's Council's ratification);
2. The relatively recent retirements/separations from service of several members of the Police Department's Senior Command (e.g. Former Deputy Chief DeLeo and Captains Gerwens and McGowan).

To promote stability in the Police Department over a transitional period, the Administration proposes to enter into an Independent Contract with a Limited Liability Company to provide Police Chief Services to Plantation, where the key employee of such Company is W. Howard Harrison. The Agreement would be terminable by

either party without cause with 90 days notice. The Agreement would also be terminable upon the Council's ratification of an appointment of a new Police Chief by the Mayor. Finally, while the arrangement is proposed to be in effect until September 30, 2015, it may be extended for the next ensuing Fiscal Year. This may or may not be necessary, depending upon the result of the upcoming Manager-Council referendum.

Issues of compensation and retirement benefits have been carefully examined. The following is noteworthy:

- A. It will be necessary to clarify the Police Officer's Retirement Plan to expressly and clearly permit the Chief to decide not to rejoin the City Retirement System when he returns to service. State law allows municipal plans to permit the Chief to make a decision whether or not to join a Retirement System, and the arrangement proposed with Chief Harrison requires that he acknowledge that he will have no rights whatsoever to accrue any additional benefits. Additionally, it will be necessary to modify the Plan to allow "in-service" distributions to a person who is recalled to service as Chief of Police after they retire for purposes of the Plan. This is recommended by the Legal Department and by Mr. Klausner so that the Plan will not lose its tax qualification under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. Attached for this purpose is an Ordinance that will be on the City Council Agenda for First Reading on July 9, 2014, and for Second Reading on July 23, 2014. It will be necessary for the City Council to adopt this Ordinance.
- B. Given the uncertainties listed above, monthly compensation is proposed. While it is correct that the annualized compensation proposed is more than the Chief of Police currently earns in salary, the increase is designed to put the Chief close to the same relative economic position he enjoys today for his service to Plantation (recognizing that he will be paying self employment tax going forward and will not be a candidate for any increase in compensation for the recall service period). For this and other reasons (i.e. the Department's stability over the ensuing short period of time), the adjustment was viewed as fair under the circumstances.
- C. Because the position involved is that of the Police Chief, it will be necessary for the City Council to adopt a Resolution approving an Independent Contractor Agreement. The Resolution and Agreement are attached and will be scheduled for action by the City Council on July 9, 2014; however, the arrangement will remain contingent upon the adoption of the Ordinance on Second Reading on July 23, 2014.
- D. It will be necessary for the Board of Trustees of the Police Officer's Retirement System to approve the contemplated "in-service" distribution as having no impact on the tax qualification of the Plan under Section 401(a). To this end, the City is appreciative of the advance legal review by Mr. Robert Klausner, Esq., whose Firm is Counsel to the System. The City has requested Mr. Klausner render an Opinion to the Board relative to this topic, and he has informally indicated a willingness to do so, subject of course, to his final review of the documents that evidence this matter (including such changes thereto as may be desired by the City Council). The Administration will request that the Board of Trustees convene a Special Meeting to consider these items prior to the Chief's last day of service as an employee on August 29, 2014.
- E. The Administration thanks Mr. Mastrianni as well as Mr. Klausner for their efforts in assisting the City Administration in the coordination and review of this complex matter.

F. Most importantly, the Administration wishes to extend its gratitude to Mr. W. Howard Harrison for his willingness to be recalled to service to Plantation as Chief of Police for this critical transitional time period.

The Ordinance is now ready for consideration at First Hearing. The Resolution and Agreement are ready for the Council's consideration and passage.

A Memo dated July 8, 2014, to Mayor Diane Bendekovic and City Council Members, from Joe Mercogliano, follows:

Mayor and Members of Council,

I am sending you this email to voice the FOP's support of Chief Harrison's continued tenure as the Chief of Police. Over the 30+ years as a member of the Plantation Police Department, he has always placed the needs of the City, department and its employees ahead of all else. (Well, maybe not his daughters).

As a Chief, the FOP and I have found him to be a fair and level headed leader. His continued tenure as our Chief of Police will ensure a harmonious work relationship between the FOP and the City.

I appreciate you taking the time to read this email and considering it as you vote Wednesday evening.

Thank you.

Mayor Bendekovic explained that Chief Harrison's DROP is up in September and she felt that we needed to offer him a contract. It is the right thing to create stability for the Police Department. We have the support of the FOP and went to Bob Klausner and Stuart Kaufman, General Counsel for the Police Pension Board, and received an okay to draft the ordinance, that it would not impact the Pension. Most importantly, Chief Harrison is an asset to the City and she would hate to lose his expertise and loyalty. He has had a stellar career here and she would like to give him the opportunity to have the contract and whoever is Mayor or City Manager can extend the contract if they want.

Chief Harrison stated that he welcomed the opportunity to continue rebuilding the Department. We have had quite a few retirements and he wants to see this through. He would like to work on his succession plan.

Councilmember Fadgen believes it is a good decision to have Chief Harrison extend. He mentioned that some of the people being groomed are in position and Council has not seen their resumes. Up until recently, it has been the custom of the Administration to provide the Council with every administrative and executive position. We have two Deputy Chiefs that will hopefully be qualified to take the position; however, we have not seen their resumes. He thinks we should go back to the custom of making those resumes available to the Council if they want to see them and he also believes they should be approved by Council.

Mayor Bendekovic indicated that the resumes are available; all you have to do is meet with Chief Harrison. She noted that one of the Deputy Chiefs will not be with us much longer; he is in DROP.

Chief Harrison stated that they always forward the resumes to Human Resources when there are promotions.

Councilmember Fadgen requested that all resumes regarding administrative and executive changes be sent to him.

Mayor Bendekovic advised that he can visit any of the departments and review any resume on file or visit Human Resources.

Dennis Conklin, resident, congratulated Chief Harrison. He encouraged every citizen in Plantation to read the Memorandum and Resolution to see what Chief Harrison and the City has gone through with the Police Department situation. He mentioned the DROP and stated that if you read this history you can get some insight as to how disruptive this DROP system is.

Ms. Slattery requested clarification that the vote is for both the First Reading of the Ordinance and the Resolution; they are two separate items.

Councilmember Jacobs replied affirmatively.

Motion by Councilmember Jacobs, seconded by Councilmember Zimmerman, to approve Item No. 20 and Resolution No. 11902 as presented. Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Zimmerman, Fadgen, Jacobs, Levy, Stoner

Nays: None

* * * * *

Mr. Morgan read Item No. 21.

21. PUBLIC HEARING AND FIRST READING OF AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF PLANTATION, FLORIDA, PERTAINING TO THE SUBJECT OF ZONING; COMPREHENSIVELY REZONING A PORTION OF THE CITY TO THE SPI-3, SUBDIVISION D, PLANTATION MIDTOWN ZONING DISTRICT CLASSIFICATION; THE LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE REZONED PROPERTY TO SPI-3 (PLANTATION MIDTOWN) INCLUDES THE FOLLOWING PARCELS; OFFICE VILLAS OF PLANTATION, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN BROWARD COUNTY PLAT BOOK 99, AT PAGE 49, PLANTATION COMMUNITY PLAZA, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN BROWARD COUNTY PLAT BOOK 80, AT PAGE 26; PLANTATION EXECUTIVE BUILDING, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN BROWARD COUNTY PLAT BOOK 100, AT PAGE 19; PROVIDING A SAVINGS CLAUSE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE THEREFOR.

A Memorandum dated July 9, 2014, to City Council and Mayor Diane Bendekovic, from Laurence Leeds, AICP, Director of Planning, Zoning and Economic Development, follows:

BACKGROUND:

On August 24, 1988, the City Council established the Plantation Midtown taxing district pursuant to Resolution No. 1569. Much later, (Ordinance #2320, May 12, 2004), the City Council adopted and created specific regulations for the SPI-3 Plantation Midtown zoning district. Concurrently, the City Council rezoned multiple properties within the taxing district to SPI-3. The rezoned parcels were generally located west of University Drive, east of Pine Island Road, south of Cleary Boulevard, and north of State Road 84.

Councilmember Sharon Uria requested a workshop on February 7, 2007, to discuss the Plantation Midtown Taxing District. The PZED Director at that time noted that the 2004 SPI-3 rezoning did not include taxing district properties located east of University Drive and west of Pine Island Road. City Council directed staff to (1) initiate SPI-3 zoning for the remaining taxing district properties, (2) and amend the SPI-3 to allow the on-premises consumption of liquor (in addition to beer and wine) subject to conditions.

On August 28, 2008, City Council amended the zoning code to add the new use of "Midtown Bar" and rezoned the remaining Midtown taxing district properties located east of University Drive and west of Pine Island Road to SPI-3 (Ordinance #2417).

Unfortunately, the 2008 rezoning legal description inadvertently omitted four properties located at the southeast corner of Broward Boulevard and University Drive which include:

- A three-story office building (zoned B-7Q) at the intersection.
- A small retail center abutting University Drive (zoned B-2P).
- A One/two-story office complex (zoned B-P) located directly east of the retail center.
- A two-story office/retail building (including Boston Market) located directly north of El Dorado Furniture.

The attached corrective ordinance rezones those properties not included in the original SPI-3 rezoning. As with the original SPI-3 rezoning, any property owner who wishes to opt-out can do so by notifying staff.

REQUEST:

Staff requests City Council approve the proposed City initiated rezoning ordinance on First Reading.

Mr. Leeds explained that this zoning request is a housekeeping item. In 2008 the City initiated a request to rezone properties that are part of the Midtown taxing district but do not have the Midtown SPI-3 zoning. At that time all of those properties were rezoned except for three properties in the triangle bound by Broward Boulevard on the north and Eldorado Furniture on the south. This completes the rezoning we started in 2008.

***Motion by Councilmember Zimmerman, seconded by Councilmember Fadgen, to approve Item No. 21.
Motion carried on the following roll call vote:***

Ayes: Zimmerman, Fadgen, Jacobs, Levy, Stoner
Nays: None

* * * * *

Mr. Morgan read Item No. 22.

22. PUBLIC HEARING AND FIRST READING OF AN ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO THE SUBJECT OF ZONING; AMENDING THE LISTS OF PERMITTED, CONDITIONAL AND PROHIBITED USES IN THE I-L2P LARGE LIGHT INDUSTRIAL ZONING DISTRICT; AMENDING OTHER REGULATIONS IN THE CITY'S I-L2P LARGE LIGHT INDUSTRIAL ZONING DISTRICT; PROVIDING FINDINGS; PROVIDING A SAVINGS CLAUSE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE THEREFOR.

A Memorandum dated July 9, 2014, to Mayor and City Council, from Laurence Leeds, AICP, Director of Planning, Zoning and Economic Development, follows:

Today, all "permitted", "conditional", and "prohibited" uses in the I-LP zoning district are regulated in the same manner as in the I-L2P zoning district. For example, business, professional, and governmental office uses are permitted in the I-LP zoning district and thereby permitted in the I-L2P zoning district. Medical Office is prohibited use in the I-LP and therefore also prohibited in I-LP2.

The applicant, representing the property owner of the Motorola campus located at the southeast corner of Sunrise Boulevard and University Drive, is requesting approval of a zoning code amendment to allow medical office, medical clinic, and ambulatory care facility as "permitted uses" in the I-L2P zoning district. The Motorola campus is the only property in the City currently with an I-L2P zoning district designation.

Medical offices are currently permitted in the OB-C, B-1P, B-2L, B-2P, B-3P, B-4P, SPI-2, and SPI-3 zoning district designations. Medical clinics (walk-in urgent care) and ambulatory care facilities are permitted in the SPI-2 (HCS subdistrict) zoning designation and permitted with conditional use approval in the OB-C, B-1P, B-2L, B-2P, B-3P, B-4P, SPI-2 (HC, FCC, and AC subdistricts), and SPI-3 zoning district designations. Medical offices and clinics are not permitted in the I-LP zoning district designation.

The proposed ordinance incorporates medical offices, ambulatory care facilities, and clinics as permitted uses in the I-L2P zoning district. In addition, staff has added or amended definitions for "ambulatory health care facility", "clinic", and "medical clinic" for clarity. Staff has also revised the I-L2P district to prohibit "heavy industrial" and "heavy commercial" uses.

The Planning and Zoning Board recommended approval of the proposed ordinance (June 3, 2014).

Mr. Leeds explained that this is a privately initiated request to amend the ILP-2 to allow medical clinics in medical offices. The applicant is seeking to identify and lease out a portion of the facility to possibly a medical facility associated with a major hospital. At the same time, we have added some clean up to emphasize and clarify that the objective of the ILP-2, which is the Motorola site, is to encourage quality tax base, intensive technology based industrial including research and development with limited office use. Staff supports the ordinance change.

Motion by Councilmember Jacobs, seconded by Councilmember Zimmerman, to approve Item No. 22. Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Zimmerman, Fadgen, Jacobs, Levy, Stoner

Nays: None

* * * * *

QUASI-JUDICIAL CONSENT AGENDA - None.

* * * * *

QUASI-JUDICIAL ITEMS

Mr. Morgan read Item No. 23.

23. EXTENSION OF SITE PLAN, ELEVATION AND LANDSCAPE PLAN APPROVAL FOR SOUTH FLORIDA LASER.

A Memorandum dated July 9, 2014, to Mayor and City Council, from Gayle Easterling, AICP of Planning, Zoning and Economic Development, follows:

REQUEST: Site plan approval extension for one (1) additional year.

BACKGROUND:

The subject site is approximately 0.6 acres in area, zoned B-2P (Central Business District) and currently developed with a 3,923-square-foot medical office. The site is bound by restaurant use to the north, Sunrise Boulevard to the south, and retail uses to the east and west.

City Council approved a site plan, elevation, and landscape plan on July 10, 2013, to allow construction of a 1,250-square-foot building addition, with three waivers for parking, setback, and landscape pedestrian zones.

Section 27-6(b) of the code indicates site plan approval has an initial period of validity of one year from the date of the decision. It also allows the City Council to ability to grant an additional six-month extension for good cause. The applicant is requesting a site plan approval extension for one (1) additional year. If the one-year extension is granted, the applicant will have until July 10, 2015, to apply for a building permit.

STAFF COMMENTS:

Planning and Zoning Department:

1. If the City Council chooses to approve the extension, staff recommends all prior site plan approval conditions remain in effect and applicable to the extended site plan approval.

Mr. Leeds indicated that this is an existing ophthalmology office located on Sunrise Boulevard just west of University Drive. The site plan was approved in 2013 and it will expire in July 2014. The applicant has not begun construction and requests one more year, until July 2015. Staff recommends approval.

Motion by Councilmember Jacobs, seconded by Councilmember Zimmerman, to approve Item No. 23 with staff comments, which include all approval conditions remain in effect and applicable to the extended site plan approval. Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Zimmerman, Fadgen, Jacobs, Levy, Stoner

Nays: None

* * * * *

COUNCILMEMBERS' COMMENTS

Councilmember Fadgen commented that we have not had a review of the status of litigation matters for quite some time. He questioned if that could be done prior to the budget.

Mayor Bendekovic questioned whether Councilmember Fadgen wanted the status or a breakdown of each department.

Councilmember Fadgen indicated that he would like the status of cases along with the numbers and the likely outcome.

Mr. Morgan advised that they do a breakdown of the cases every year for the auditor. He stated that their office did not have any significant cases to report last year; there was no significant exposure.

Councilmember Stoner questioned whether a litigation plan is provided to the City on any litigation cases with a budget.

Mr. Morgan stated they are not provided with a budget because usually the City is not a plaintiff. He noted that there has not been any significant litigation in the last several years.

Councilmember Fadgen commented that exposure is important but also the legal costs associated with it. He suspects because of the communications activity our communication legal costs are substantial compared to prior years. He would like the exposure as well as the cost of each of the cases; how much is into it and how much is expected before it is settled or resolved.

Mayor Bendekovic indicated that would include the \$25,000 given for the cost recovery. She stated that we are down to about \$8,900 at this time and are at a holding pattern.

* * * * *

Mayor Bendekovic announced that the Second Public Hearing meeting on the budget will be on Monday, September 15, 2014; there will be no meeting on Wednesday.

* * * * *

Mayor Bendekovic thanked everyone. She reiterated that any one of the Department Heads can be contacted. That is another reason why we need Dan Keefe; he will be a bridge with Administration because she cannot talk to Council members.

Councilmember Levy noticed that Mr. Keefe started October 1, 2014, after the budget.

Mayor Bendekovic stated that Mr. Keefe had vacation commitments that he could not get out of. He will be aware of everything that is going on; he is very committed.

Councilmember Fadgen questioned if Comcast will be here on September 15, 2014 to broadcast.

Mayor Bendekovic advised that Comcast would be here. She noted that we will be losing the camera man but we are setting up new cameras that will be a focus because Comcast will no longer be supplying someone to work the camera. She mentioned that she is working on new chairs for Council.

Councilmember Stoner indicated that the platform needs to be replaced because that is where the grooves are.

* * * * *

PUBLIC REQUESTS OF THE COUNCIL CONCERNING MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS

Dennis Conklin, resident, was present. He thanked the Mayor for making the announcement about the Supervisor of Elections for Broward County needing poll workers and poll deputies. Anyone that would like to help the community, it is a very important job. Anyone who is interested should contact the Broward County Supervisor of Elections Office.

* * * * *

SEALED COMPETITIVE SOLICITATIONS – None.

* * * * *

WORKSHOPS – None.

* * * * *

Meeting adjourned at 9:33 p.m.

* * * * *

Councilmember Lynn Stoner, President
City Council

ATTEST:

Susan Slattery
City Clerk

RECORD ENTRY:

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the Original of the foregoing signed Minutes was received by the Office of the City Clerk and entered into the Public Record this _____ day of _____, 2014.

Susan Slattery, City Clerk